



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

JLP:ddj  
Docket No: 4707-99  
2 November 1999

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 November 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CNO memorandum 5420 N130D1/191-99 of 15 October 1999, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS  
WASHINGTON, DC 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO

5420

N130D1/191-99

15 OCT 99

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF  
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE CASE OF PETTY OFFICER  
[REDACTED]

Encl: (1) BCNR File # 04707-99 with Microfiche Service Record

1. The following provides comments and recommendations on [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

2. N130 recommends deny [REDACTED]'s petition for an  
Enlistment Bonus (EB).

3. [REDACTED], a Navy Veteran (NAVET), reenlisted in  
the Navy on 21 January 1998 and volunteered for the Advanced  
Electronic Field/Advanced Electronic Computer Field (AEF/AECF)  
under the PRISE III Program. In his petition, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] states, at time of enlistment, he signed a document that  
entitled him to EB in the amount of \$7,500, but Navy Classifiers  
failed to enter the document in his service record. Petty  
[REDACTED] requests the Board for Correction of Naval  
Records (BCNR) amend his enlistment contract to allow him an EB  
for the AEF/AECF Program.

4. EB is not an entitlement, but a recruiting tool used at the  
discretion of recruiters and classifiers to entice individuals  
to enlist in critical skills. EB is budgeted based on quotas  
provided to the Commander, Navy Recruiting Command and the  
Enlisted Community Manager, not by the number of "A" school  
accession seats. Every recruit is not offered or receives an  
EB. In accordance with Navy policy, prior service members must  
enlist in paygrade E-3 or below if establishing eligibility for  
an EB via Class 'A' school.

5. [REDACTED] enlisted for the Advanced Electronic  
Field/Advanced Electronic Computer Field (AEF/AECF) under the  
PRISE III Program as an E-4. Therefore, he is not entitled to  
EB. Also, his record does not show an EB contract or that the  
Navy offered him an EB guarantee. Request [REDACTED]

Subj: COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE CASE OF PETTY OFFICER  
[REDACTED]

provide any documentation showing a valid contract or that the Navy offered him an EB and that he reentered the Navy as an E-3. Until [REDACTED] can verify this information, no change to service record required.

6. BCNR case file with microfiche service record is returned herewith as enclosure (1).



VICTOR D. MICKEL  
Assistant, Enlisted Bonus  
Programs Branch